

## The Iwasawa Decomposition for Symmetric Spaces of Non-Compact Type

Let  $M$  be a symmetric space of non-compact type and denote  $G = \text{Iso}(M)^\circ$ . Fix  $p \in M$  and denote  $K = \text{Stab}_G(p)$ . Consider the map

$$\sigma : G \rightarrow G, \quad g \mapsto s_p g s_p^{-1},$$

where  $s_p$  is the geodesic symmetry around  $p$ . This makes  $(G, K, \sigma)$  into a Riemannian-symmetric pair.

We have the *Cartan involution*

$$\theta := D_e \sigma : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$$

and the Cartan decomposition

$$\mathfrak{g} = E_1(\theta) \oplus E_{-1}(\theta) = \mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{p}$$

and consider the positive definite symmetric bilinear form

$$\langle X, Y \rangle = -B_{\mathfrak{g}}(X, \theta(Y)).$$

This bilinear form was the basis for our discussion of roots and root systems. Fix a maximal abelian subspace  $\mathfrak{a}$ . Recall the following definition and theorem:

**Definition.** An element  $X \in \mathfrak{p}$  is called *regular* if  $Z(X) \cap \mathfrak{p}$  is abelian.

**Theorem.** For every maximal abelian subspace  $\mathfrak{a} \subset \mathfrak{p}$  there exists  $X \in \mathfrak{p}$  such that

$$\mathfrak{a} = Z(X) \cap \mathfrak{p}.$$

In particular,  $X$  is regular. Furthermore for any two maximal abelian subspaces  $\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{a}' \subset \mathfrak{p}$  there is  $k \in K$  such that

$$\text{Ad}_G(k)(\mathfrak{a}) = \mathfrak{a}'.$$

This Theorem is proved for symmetric spaces of compact type by using that the Lie subgroup associated to  $\mathfrak{a}$  is in fact a torus and so we can find an element in  $\mathfrak{p}$  such that the geodesic in the direction of this element is dense. Then one easily sees that this element commutes with  $\mathfrak{a}$ . One then traverses to symmetric spaces of non-compact type by using the duality between symmetric spaces of compact and non-compact type.

Note that  $\{\text{ad}(H) : H \in \mathfrak{a}\}$  is simultaneously diagonalizable so for  $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}^*$  we write

$$0 \neq \mathfrak{g}_\lambda = \{X \in \mathfrak{g} : (\text{ad}(H))X = \lambda(H)X \text{ for all } H \in \mathfrak{a}\}.$$

By choosing a basis we can define  $\Sigma^+$  the set of positive roots and denote

$$\mathfrak{n} = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Sigma^+} \mathfrak{g}_\lambda.$$

Note  $\mathfrak{n}$  is a nilpotent Lie algebra as  $[\mathfrak{g}_\alpha, \mathfrak{g}_\beta] \subset \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha+\beta}$ . and there are only finitely many  $\lambda$  such that  $\mathfrak{g}_\lambda \neq 0$ .

**Theorem.** (*Iwasawa decomposition*) *With the above notations we can write*

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{a} \oplus \mathfrak{n}.$$

*Denote by  $A$  the Lie group associated to  $\mathfrak{a}$  and by  $N$  the Lie group associates to  $\mathfrak{n}$ . Then the groups  $A$  and  $N$  are simply connected and furthermore the multiplication map*

$$K \times A \times N \longrightarrow G, \quad (k, a, n) \longmapsto kan$$

*is a diffeomorphism.*

**Remark.** The Iwasawa decomposition holds for all semisimple Lie groups with the same proof. The proof of the Lie algebra decomposition just uses symmetric positive definite bilinear form

$$\langle X, Y \rangle = -B(X, \theta Y).$$

As one can find such a positive definite bilinear form on any semisimple Lie algebra, the proof then carries over.

Fix  $x \in M(\infty)$  and let  $X \in \mathfrak{p}$  be the unit vector such that  $\exp(tX).p = \gamma_{xp}$ , where  $\gamma_{xp}$  is the unique unit speed geodesic with  $\gamma_{px}(0) = p$  that is asymptotic to  $x$ . Recall that we have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathfrak{p} & \xrightarrow{D_e \pi} & T_p M \\ \downarrow \exp & & \downarrow \exp_p \\ G & \xrightarrow{\pi} & M \end{array}$$

This commutative diagram holds as we can associate to each geodesic  $\gamma$  the following one-parameter subgroup of transvections  $\mathcal{T}_t = s_{\gamma(t/2)}\gamma(0)$  and then using the fact that one parameter subgroups are precisely given by the exponential map. So there exists a unique  $X \in \mathfrak{p}$  such that  $\gamma_{px}(t) = \exp(tX).p$ . Then we consider the parabolic subgroup at  $x$

$$\begin{aligned} G_x &= \{g \in G : g \circ \gamma \in [x] \text{ for all } \gamma \in [x]\} \\ &= \{g \in G : \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \exp(-tX)g \exp(tX) \text{ exists}\} \end{aligned}$$

We hence have a well defined map

$$T_x : G_x \rightarrow G, \quad g \mapsto \exp(-tX)g \exp(tX).$$

**Proposition.** *The map  $T_x$  is a continuous idempotent homomorphism.*

*Proof.* Let  $g, h \in G_x$ , then we have that

$$\begin{aligned} T_x(gh) &= \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \exp(-tX)gh \exp(tX) \\ &= \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \exp(-tX)g \exp(tX) \exp(-tX)h \exp(tX) = T_x(g)T_x(h), \end{aligned}$$

so  $T_x$  is a group homomorphism. To show that  $T_x$  is idempotent we note that

$$\begin{aligned} T_x(T_x(g)) &= \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \exp(-tX)T_x(g) \exp(tX) \\ &= \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \exp(-tX) \exp(-sX)g \exp(sX) \exp(tX) \\ &= \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \exp(-(s+t)X)g \exp((s+t)X) = T_x(g). \end{aligned}$$

We will prove later that  $T_x$  is continuous. □

We now introduce the subgroups needed in the more general Iwasawa decomposition:

$$\begin{aligned} N_x &:= \ker(T_x), \\ Z_x &:= \text{im}(T_x), \\ K_x &:= K \cap Z_x, \\ A_x &:= \exp(Z(X) \cap \mathfrak{p}). \end{aligned}$$

We are now ready to state the main theorem of this talk.

**Theorem.** (*Generalized Iwasawa decomposition*) *We have diffeomorphisms given by multiplication*

$$K_x \times A_x \times N_x \rightarrow G_x$$

and

$$K \times A_x \times N_x \rightarrow G.$$

**Remark.** Note that  $A_x$  is not necessarily a subgroup by the above. However, if  $X \in \mathfrak{p}$  is regular then  $Z(X) \cap \mathfrak{p}$  is maximal abelian and the above is precisely the normal Iwasawa decomposition.

**Example.** Let  $\mathbb{H}$  be the upper half plane and let  $p = i \in \mathbb{H}$ . Then we have that  $G = \text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{R})$  and  $K = \text{PSO}_2(\mathbb{R})$ . We consider  $x \in \mathbb{H}(\infty)$  to be boundary point at infinity which is above  $p$  and then we have that  $X$  is the unit vector at  $i$  that points upwards. So  $\gamma_{i\infty}(t) = e^t \cdot i$  and so

$$\gamma_{i\infty}(t) = \exp\left(t \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & -\frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix}\right) \cdot i.$$

So

$$X = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & -\frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix}$$

and for  $g = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{R})$  we have

$$\exp(-tX)g \exp(tX) = \begin{pmatrix} a & e^{-t}b \\ e^t c & d \end{pmatrix}$$

so

$$G_x = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & a^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \text{ for } a \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\} \text{ and } b \in \mathbb{R} \right\} / \{\pm I_2\}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} N_x &= \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & b \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \text{ for } b \in \mathbb{R} \right\} / \{\pm I_2\}, \\ Z_x &= \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & a^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \text{ for } a \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\} \right\} / \{\pm I_2\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$K_x = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\} / \{\pm I_2\} \quad \text{and } A_x = Z_x.$$

**Example.** Now consider  $M = \mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{R})/\mathrm{SO}_n(\mathbb{R})$  and  $G = \mathrm{PSL}_n(\mathbb{R})$  and  $K = \mathrm{PSO}_n(\mathbb{R})$  then we have that

$$\theta : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}, \quad X \mapsto -X^T$$

and the Cartan decomposition

$$\mathfrak{g} = E_1(D_e\sigma) \oplus E_{-1}(D_e\sigma) = \mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{p}$$

with

$$\mathfrak{k} = \{X \in \mathfrak{sl}_n(\mathbb{R}) : X + X^T = 0\}$$

$$\mathfrak{p} = \{X \in \mathfrak{sl}_n(\mathbb{R}) : X = X^T\}.$$

So we take some element  $X \in \mathfrak{p}$ . By some base change we can assume that  $X$  is a diagonal matrix. So write  $X = \mathrm{diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$  with  $\lambda_1 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_n$ . Note that  $X$  is regular if and only if all the  $\lambda$  are distinct. However we don't assume that for now. Without loss of generality we say that  $\lambda_1 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_n$ . Note then that

$$(\exp(-tX)g \exp(tX))_{ij} = e^{t(\lambda_j - \lambda_i)} g_{ij}.$$

So we have that

$$G_x = \{g \in \mathrm{PSL}_n(\mathbb{R}) : g_{ij} = 0 \text{ if } \lambda_j > \lambda_i\}$$

and we have

$$Z_x = \{g \in \mathrm{PSL}_n(\mathbb{R}) : g_{ij} = 0 \text{ if } \lambda_j \neq \lambda_i\}.$$

For  $n = (n_{ij}) \in N_x$  we have that

$$e^{t(\lambda_j - \lambda_i)} \rightarrow \delta_{ij}.$$

So we get the following characterization of elements of  $N_x$ :

1. If  $i = j$ , then  $n_{ii} = 1$ .
2. If  $i > j$ , then  $\lambda_i \geq \lambda_j$  and so  $n_{ij} = 0$
3. if  $i < j$ , then  $\lambda_i \leq \lambda_j$ . If  $\lambda_i = \lambda_j$ , then  $n_{ij} = 0$ .

The centralizer of  $X \in \mathfrak{p}$  turns out to be

$$Z(X) \cap \mathfrak{p} = \{A \in \mathfrak{p} : a_{ij} = 0 \text{ if } \lambda_i \neq \lambda_j\}$$

To be even more explicit let  $n = 3$  and consider  $X = (1, 1, -2)$ . Then we have that

$$G_x = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} * & * & * \\ * & * & * \\ 0 & 0 & * \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{SL}_3(\mathbb{R}) \right\},$$

$$Z_x = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} * & * & 0 \\ * & * & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & * \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{SL}_3(\mathbb{R}) \right\},$$

$$N_x = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & * \\ 0 & 1 & * \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{SL}_3(\mathbb{R}) \right\},$$

$$Z(X) \cap \mathfrak{p} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} * & * & 0 \\ * & * & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & * \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{sl}_3(\mathbb{R}) \right\}.$$

We now prove the first part of the Iwasawa decomposition, namely that  $G_x = K_x A_x N_x$ .

*Proof.* (First Part of Iwasawa decomposition) The proof comprises several steps:

1.  $G_x = Z_x N_x$ , where the decomposition is unique. To see this note that any  $g \in G_x$  can be written as

$$g = T_x(g)T_x(g)^{-1}g,$$

where  $T_x(g) \in Z_x$  and  $T_x(g)^{-1}g \in N_x$  as  $T_x$  is idempotent. To see uniqueness assume  $g = zn$  then  $T_x(g) = z$  and so  $z$  is determined by the choice of  $g$ . So also  $n$  is unique.

This in fact also shows that  $T_x$  is continuous: It suffices to check continuity at  $e \in G_x$ . So let  $g_i \rightarrow e$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Then we have by the last corollary

$$g_i = z_i n_i$$

with  $i \rightarrow \infty$ . By uniqueness of the decomposition it follows that  $z_i \rightarrow e$  and  $n_i \rightarrow e$ . So we have

$$T_x(g_i) = z_i \rightarrow e = T_x(e).$$

Hence  $T_x$  is continuous.

2.  $Z_x = \{g \in G_x : \exp(-tX)g \exp(tX) = g \text{ for all } t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ . To see this let  $g \in Z_x$ . Then  $g = T_x(h)$  for  $h \in Z_x$ . Thus we have that  $Z_x \subset \text{im}(T_x)$ . Conversely if  $y \in \text{im}(T_x)$ , then we have that some  $g \in G_x$  such that  $T_x(g) = y$ . Then note that for any  $s \in \mathbb{R}$

$$\begin{aligned} \exp(-sX)y \exp(sX) &= \exp(-sX)T_x(g) \exp(sX) \\ &= T_x(\exp(-sX))T_x(g)T_x(\exp(sX)) \\ &= T_x(\exp(-sX)g \exp(sX)) \\ &= \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \exp(-(s+t)X) \end{aligned}$$

so indeed  $y \in Z_x$ .

3.  $A_x \subset Z_x$ : To see this note that for  $Y \in Z(X) \cap \mathfrak{p}$  we have that  $[tX, Y] = 0$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  and hence

$$\exp(Y) \exp(tX) = \exp(Y + tX) = \exp(tX + Y) = \exp(tX) \exp(Y).$$

So indeed  $\exp(Y) \in Z_x$ .

4. Let  $g \in Z_x$ . Then there exists  $a \in A_x$  with  $g(p) = a(p)$ . To see this let  $Y$  be such that  $\exp(Y).p = g.p$ . Then  $X$  and  $Y$  span a flat strip and so  $[X, Y] = 0$  as the curvature is zero.

5. We show  $Z_x = K_x A_x$ : By taking inverses we can also show that  $Z_x = A_x K_x$ . For  $z \in Z_x$  choose by the first step  $a \in A_x$  with  $z(p) = a(p)$ . Then we have that  $k = a^{-1}z \in Z_x \cap K$  and so we have  $z = ak$ . Next we prove uniqueness. To see this choose write  $z = ak = a'k'$  for  $a, a' \in A_x$  and  $k, k' \in K_x$ . Then we have that there are  $Y, Y' \in \mathfrak{p} \cap Z(X)$  such that  $\exp(Y)(p) = z(p) = \exp(Y')(p)$ . As a symmetric space of non-compact type is simply connected, we have that  $\exp : T_p M \rightarrow M$  is a diffeomorphism. So we conclude that  $Y = Y'$  and so we are done.

□

*Proof.* (of (ii) of the Iwasawa decomposition) Recall that the action of  $G_x$  on  $M$  is transitive. So given  $g \in G$  choose  $g_x \in G_x$  such that  $g(p) = g_x(p)$  so  $g_x^{-1}g \in K$  and  $G = KG_x$ . So by part (i)  $G = KK_xA_xN_x = KA_xN_x$  so we have existence.

It remains to prove uniqueness. Assume for the moment that  $K \cap A_x^2N_x = \{\text{id}\}$ . Write  $g \in KA_xN_x = G$  and write  $g = k_1a_1n_1 = k_2a_2n_2$  with  $k_1, k_2 \in K$ ,  $a_1, a_2 \in A_x$  and  $n_1, n_2 \in N_x$ . So

$$k_1^{-1}k_2 = a_1n_1n_2^{-1}a_2^{-1} = a_1a_2^{-1}(a_2n_1n_2^{-1}a_2^{-1}) \in K \cap A_x^2N_x = \{\text{id}\}$$

as  $N$  is normal. So  $k_1 = k_2$  and  $a_1n_1 = a_2n_2$ . Applying  $T_x$  on both sides we have  $a_1 = a_2$  so we are done.

So it remains to show that  $K \cap A_x^2N_x = \{\text{id}\}$ . The proof again comprises several steps:

1.  $K \cap N_x = \{\text{id}\}$ : Let  $g \in K \cap N_x$  so  $\exp(-tX)g \exp(tX) \rightarrow \text{id}$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ . Thus

$$\text{Ad}(\exp(-tX))\text{Ad}(g)\text{Ad}(\exp(tX)) \rightarrow \text{id}$$

as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ . Thus the characteristic polynomial of  $\text{Ad}(g)$  is  $(T - 1)^{\dim(\mathfrak{g})}$ . So  $\text{Ad}(g)$  is unipotent by Caley-Hamilton. Furthermore we claim that  $\text{Ad}(g)$  preserves the inner product  $\langle X, Y \rangle = -B_{\mathfrak{g}}(X, \theta Y)$ . To see this note that  $\sigma \circ \text{int}(g) = \text{int}(g) \circ \sigma$  as  $\sigma(g) = g$  and so by passing to the derivative we have  $\text{Ad}(g)\theta = \theta\text{Ad}(g)$ . Furthermore the Killing form is  $\text{Ad}(g)$  invariant, so we conclude:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \text{Ad}(g)X, \text{Ad}(g)Y \rangle &= -B_{\mathfrak{g}}(\text{Ad}(g)X, \theta\text{Ad}(g)Y) \\ &= -B_{\mathfrak{g}}(\text{Ad}(g)X, \text{Ad}(g)\theta Y) = \langle X, Y \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

As every orthogonal matrix is diagonalizable, and a unipotent matrix has only 1 as eigenvalues, we conclude that  $\text{Ad}(g) = \text{id}$ . So  $\text{Ad}(g) = \text{id}$ , implying that  $g = \text{id}$  as  $\text{Ad}$  is injective.

2.  $K \cap A_x^2 = \{\text{id}\}$ : Let  $g = \exp(Y) \exp(Y') \in K \cap A_x^2$  for  $Y, Y' \in Z(X) \cap \mathfrak{p}$ . Then

$$p = g.p = \exp(Y) \exp(Y').p$$

and so  $\exp(-Y).p = \exp(Y').p$ . So  $\text{Exp}_0(D_e\pi - Y) = \text{Exp}_0(D_e\pi Y')$  and so as the exponential map is a diffeomorphism we conclude  $D_e\pi - Y = D_e\pi Y'$  and so  $-Y = Y'$  and hence  $g = \text{id}$ .

3.  $K_x = K \cap Z_x = K \cap G_x$ : Note that we already have  $K_x = K \cap Z_x \subset K \cap G_x$  since  $Z_x \subset G_x$ . Now let  $g \in K \cap G_x$ . Note that  $g \exp(tX).p$  is a unit speed geodesic as  $g$  asymptotic to  $x$  as  $g$  is an isometry with  $gx = x$ . Hence by uniqueness we have that

$$g \exp(tX).p = \gamma_{xp}(t) = \exp(tX).p.$$

So

$$\exp(-tX)g \exp(tX) \in K$$

for all  $t$ . As  $g \in G_x$  we have that  $T_x(g) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \exp(-tX)g \exp(tX)$  exists. Thus  $T_x(g) \in K$  as  $K$  is closed. As  $T_x$  is idempotent we thus have that

$$T_x(T_x(g^{-1})g) = \text{id}$$

and hence  $T_x(g^{-1})g \in K \cap N_x$ . Wir haben nun  $g = T_x(g) \in \text{im}(T_x) = Z_x$ .

4.  $K \cap A_x^2 N_x = \{\text{id}\}$ : Let  $\phi \in K \cap A_x^2 N_x \subset K \cap G_x = K \cap Z_x$  so  $\phi = a_1 a_2 n$  with  $a_1, a_2 \in A_x$  and  $n \in N_x$ . Since  $g \in Z_x$  we have

$$T_x(g) = a_1 a_2 = g = a_1 a_2 n$$

so  $n = \text{id}$  and  $g \in K \cap A_x^2 = \{\text{id}\}$ . So we are done.

□

**Lemma.** *The group  $N_x$  is a path connected closed normal subgroup of  $G_x$ .*

*Proof.* As  $T_x$  is a continuous homomorphism, we have that  $N_x = T_x^{-1}(0)$  is a closed normal subgroup. To see that  $N_x$  is path connected, note that for any  $g \in N_x$  and  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  we have that

$$\exp(-tX)g \exp(tX) \in N_x.$$

Thus we can consider the path

$$\gamma : [0, \infty) \rightarrow N_x, \quad t \mapsto \exp(-tX)g \exp(tX).$$

As  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \gamma(t) = e_G$  we can reparametrize and extend  $\gamma$  to a path from  $g$  to  $e \in N_x$ . Thus  $G_x$  is path connected. □

Dependence on  $x$  and  $p$ ? If you change  $p$ , you only conjugate everything. If you change  $x$ , it is not so easy to understand what happens.